

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/083,996		02/25/2002	Akihiko Nagano	1232-4825	1232-4825 5742		
27123	7590	06/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		EGAN, L.L.P.	YAM, STI	YAM, STEPHEN K			
345 PARK NEW YOR				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	ŕ		2878				
				DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/083,996	NAGANO, AKIHIKO					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Stephen Yam	2878	Re				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespond nce add	Iress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Mar</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9,11-13,18-22 and 24-30</u> is/are objected to.	E) Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9,11-13,18-22 and 24-30</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of or the original transfer of the original transfer or the original tr	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFI	* *				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0304.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/083,996

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 3, 2004 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed March 29, 2004 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because the document number "09/698,241" is not a published document, and a copy of the application has not been included. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 28 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 3

In Claim 1, line 3, the claim language recites the *image sensing lens* comprising the microlens and light-receiving portions, although a lens is fundamentally a single optic element, and is defined by Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary as " a piece of transparent material (as glass) that has two opposite regular surfaces either both curved or one curved and the other plane and that is used either singly or combined in an optical instrument for forming an image by focusing rays of light". Examiner asserts that perhaps Applicant intended the *image sensing element* to comprise the elements in the body of the claim instead of the *image sensing lens*.

In Claim 28, a parent claim number is not amended after deleting "23" upon amendment.

For examination purposes, Examiner interprets Claim 28 to depend from Claim 18.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stauffer US Patent No. 4,410,804.

Regarding Claim 1, Stauffer teaches (see Fig. 1 and 3) an image sensing element (15, 19) to be used for an image sensing apparatus having an image sensing lens (10), and arranged to

sense an image formed by the image sensing lens (see Col. 2, lines 49-47), the image sensing element comprising a plurality of microlenses (17), and a plurality of light-receiving portions (19, 20) arranged so as to correspond to the respective microlenses (see Col. 2, lines 16-21), wherein each light-receiving portion includes first (left quadrant- see Fig. 3), second (right quadrant- see Fig. 3), and third (top+bottom quadrant- see Fig. 3) light-receiving regions each outputting a signal (see Col. 2, lines 25-33), the first and second light-receiving regions having substantially symmetrical shape (see Fig. 1) and being arranged to sandwich the third lightreceiving region (see Fig. 3), center portions of the first and second light-receiving regions being wider than peripheral (top or bottom) portions of the first and second light-receiving regions (see Fig. 1).

Page 4

Regarding Claim 3, Stauffer teaches the first and second light-receiving regions used to at least detect a focus state of the image sensing lens (see Col. 2, lines 25-33).

Regarding Claim 4, Stauffer teaches the first and second light-receiving regions used to detect a focus state of the image sensing lens and photograph an object (see Col. 2, lines 25-33 and 39-47).

Regarding Claims 5 and 13, Stauffer teaches one of the first and second light-receiving regions receiving a beam from one of two predetermined regions on a pupil of the image sensing lens and the other of the first and second light-receiving regions receiving a beam from the other of the two predetermined regions on the pupil of the image sensing lens, the two predetermined regions being regions that sandwich an optical axis (as seen in Figs. 10 and 11 of US Patent No. 4,185,191 incorporated by reference (see Col. 1, lines 7-22 and Col. 2, lines 21-23)).

Regarding Claim 7, Stauffer teaches a function of individually outputting charges accumulated in the first, second, and third light-receiving regions (see Col. 2, lines 25-38 and Col. 3, lines 2-21), and a function of outputting a sum of charges accumulated in the first, second, and third light-receiving regions (ie.- combining all detector outputs within the pixel for the pixel signal) (see Col. 2, lines 43-47).

Regarding Claim 9, Stauffer teaches (see Fig. 3) the third light-receiving region as narrower than a width of each of the first and second light-receiving regions at a center and relatively wider than the width of each of the first and second light-receiving regions at two ends (top and bottom).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 6, 18-22, 24-27, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stauffer in view of Nakahara US Patent No. 6,195,509.

Regarding Claims 6, 18-22, 24-27, and 30, Stauffer teaches the device in Claim 1, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Regarding Claim 18, Stauffer teaches a control unit (26, 32) arranged to detect a focus state of the image sensing lens (see Col. 2, lines 25-38). Regarding Claim 19, Stauffer teaches the control unit controlling photographing operation so as to photograph an object by using the first and second light-receiving regions (see Col. 2, lines

Art Unit: 2878

43-55). Regarding Claim 22, Stauffer teaches the control unit individually reading out charges accumulated in the first, second, and third light-receiving regions (see Col. 2, lines 25-38 and Col. 3, lines 2-21), and reading out a sum of charges accumulated in the first, second, and third light-receiving regions in photography (ie.- combining all detector outputs within the pixel for the pixel signal) (see Col. 2, lines 43-47). Regarding Claim 24, Stauffer teaches the first and second light-receiving regions receiving beams from two predetermined regions on a pupil of the image sensing lens, the two predetermined regions being regions that sandwich an optical axis (as seen in Figs. 10 and 11 of US Patent No. 4,185,191 incorporated by reference (see Col. 1, lines 7-22 and Col. 2, lines 21-23)). Regarding Claims 25-27, Stauffer teaches (see Fig. 3) the third light-receiving region as narrower than a width of each of the first and second lightreceiving regions at a center and relatively wider than the width of each of the first and second light-receiving regions at two ends (top and bottom). Regarding Claim 30, Stauffer teaches an image processing apparatus (see Fig. 1) comprising the image sensing apparatus of Stauffer. Regarding Claim 18, Stauffer also does not teach the control unit arranged to perform focus adjustment. Regarding Claims 6, 20, and 21, Stauffer does not teach the third light-receiving region used to determine a time during which charges are accumulated in the first and second light-receiving regions, for exposure. Nakahara teaches a similar device, with a first lightreceiving region (1D), a second light-receiving region (2D), and a third light-receiving region

(6L), also having a control unit (100) arranged to detect a focus state of the image sensing lens

and perform focus adjustment (see Col. 5, lines 13-17 and Col. 7, line 63 to Col. 8, line 3),

wherein the first light-receiving region and second light-receiving region sandwich the third

light-receiving region, and the control unit determines by using the third light-receiving region is

Art Unit: 2878

used, a time during which an image is exposed (for exposure/photometering, corresponding to the time for which charges are accumulated in the first and second light-receiving regions of Stauffer to capture the image) (see Col. 2, lines 61-63 and Col. 5, lines 34-42) during focus adjustment (see Col. 5, lines 34-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the third light-receiving region to determine a time during which charges are accumulated in the first and second light-receiving regions, and to use the control unit to perform focus adjustment, as taught by Nakahara, in the device of Stauffer, to provide exposure determination for optimal image capturing and produce a clear image for photography or further image processing/recognition.

8. Claims 11, 12, 28, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stauffer (in view of Nakahara for Claims 28 and 29), further in view of Hayashi et al. US Patent No. 4,562,346.

Stauffer (in view of Nakahara for Claims 28 and 29) teach the device and apparatus in Claims 1 and 18, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Stauffer does not teach a region formed from the first, second, and third light-receiving regions having a substantially regular polygonal shape, or having a shape substantially obtained by cutting off each corner of a square. Hayashi et al. teach (see Fig. 12) a similar device, with a plurality of light-receiving portions ((21A-1,21B-1), (21A-2,21B-2)), each light-receiving portions (21A-1, 21B-1) including multiple light-receiving regions (21A-1 + 21B-1), wherein a region formed from the multiple light-receiving regions has a substantially regular polygonal shape (see Fig. 12 and Col. 8, lines 40-47) and has a shape substantially obtained by cutting off each corner of a square (see Fig. 12).

Art Unit: 2878

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a region formed from the light-receiving regions as having a substantially regular polygonal shape, or having a shape substantially obtained by cutting off each corner of a square, as taught by Hayashi et al., in the device of Stauffer (in view of Nakahara for Claims 28 and 29), to easily fabricate the light-receiving regions according to a standard shaping technique.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-7, 9, 11-13, 18-22, and 24-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Stauffer US Patent No. 4,185,191, teaches a device for detecting focus, with an image sensing lens, a plurality of microlenses, and a plurality of light-receiving portions each containing a plurality of light-receiving regions, wherein each region receives a beam from a predetermined region on a pupil of the image sensing lens, wherein predetermined regions sandwich an optical axis.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Yam whose telephone number is (571)272-2449. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571)272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).